



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/996,484	11/28/2001		Yen Choo	8325-2004 G8-US1	2713
20855	7590 01/31/2006			EXAMINER	
ROBINS &			SULLIVAN, DANIEL M		
1731 EMBA	ARCADERO) ROAD		ART UNIT	
SUITE 230	SUITE 230				PAPER NUMBER
PALO ALT	PALO ALTO, CA 94303			1636	
				DATE MAILED: 01/31/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/996,484	CHOO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Daniel M. Sullivan	1636	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 12 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following
time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any eamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) solution of the proposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) solution of the proposes of appeal and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>34</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1,2,4,5,7,8,10,13-15,21-26,31,35 and 38</u> .
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
DE Onem
DANIÈL M. SULLIVAN PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 34 is amended to recite that the first or second polypeptide comprises a "non-naturally occurring" Cys2-His2 zinc finger "binding domain". As the polypeptides of the claims previously examined were not limited to comprising a non-naturally occurring Cys2-His2 zinc finger binding domain, entry of the amendment would require a new search of the art. In addition, the remarks cite page 3, lines 28-32, and page 12, lines 7-16, of the specification as providing support for the limitation of the Cys2-His2 binding domain to being "non-naturally occurring". However, the discussion at page 12 is merely a generic discussion of "domains" and the paragraph on page 3 states, "Preferably, at least one of the candidate first molecules comprises a non-naturally occurring binding domain WHICH BINDS TO THE SECOND MOLECULE" (emphasis added). Thus, the non-naturally occurring binding domain contemplated on page 3 is the domain involved in the interaction of the first and second molecule, not a DNA binding domain. Thus, the cited teachings do not support a non-naturally occurring Cys2-His2 DNA binding domain as recited in the proposed amendment..

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are predicated on entry of the claim amendments. As the amendments have not been entered, the arguments are moot..